LANDING YACO F LATER DIE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED



R. Gerard Salemme

Vice President - Government Affairs

Suite 1000 1120 20th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 202 457-3118 FAX 202 457-3205

July 29, 1996

JUL 29 1996

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMM

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW, Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Re:

Ex parte CC Docket No. 96-98 - Implementation of the Local Competition Providisons of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Dear Mr. Caton:

The attached material is being submitted to Larry Atlas, Richard Metzger, Dan Gonzalez, John Nakahata, James Casserly, Lauren Belvin and Regina Keeney.

Two copies of this Notice are being submitted to the Secretary of the FCC in accordance with Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Commission's rules.

Sincerely,

cc: Dan Gonzalez Larry Atlas

Richard Metzger

John Nakahata

James Casserly

Lauren Belvin

Regina Keeney

No. of Copies roo'd OJZ List ABCCE



R. Gerard Salemme

Vice President - Government Affairs

Suite 1000 1120 20th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 202 457-3118 FAX 202 457-3205

July 29, 1996

Ms. Regina Keeney Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re:

CC Docket No. 96-98

Dear Ms. Keeney:

It is AT&T's position that when a CLEC purchases the unbundled elements at cost plus a reasonable return that it should not pay the ILEC any additional charges including access charges which include misallocations of retail end user expenses. It would be especially inappropriate to pay a double payment that would occur if a CLEC was required to pay for misallocated retail costs included in the terminating interconnection charge (TIC).

Attached is AT&T's analysis of the minimum amount the TIC should be reduced.

Sincerely,

Attached is AT&T's analysis using ARMIS 43-04 data of the direct and indirect retail costs reflected in the interconnection charge (previously known as the residual interconnection charge). Of this amount, the terminating interconnection charge (TIC) would be reduced by 46% to account for direct RBOC retail cost in access and 60% to reflect direct and indirect retail costs in access.

In addition, the direct retail costs in access for the switching transport element alone are \$222 million and \$313 million if indirect costs are included. On this basis, AT&T contends that where we are providing service to the local customer the TIC should be reduced by a minimum of 15% to account for these retail costs. The interstate TIC in this analysis has been modified to reflect the industry value instead of the Tier 1 value.

Ξ

Retail Expenses in the Interconnection Charge (RIC)
1994, \$000

1

43-04 Row #	Jan - Dec 1994	Total Interstate		T	Total Access	Intercon	Interconnection Charge (RIC)		
73 20 72 9 0	Total Customer Ops CABS Direct RBOC Retail Cost in Access/RIC			\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$	192,942 26,425 166,517		
7332	Big 3 Exp % Impact of Retail adjustment	\$ _	5,609,825	(1	No breakdown to subcategories in 43-04) 21.1%				
7334	Corp Ops Impact of Big 3 Adjustment	\$	1,886,202	\$ \$	1,751,450 369,569	\$ \$	320,449 67,617		
	Total RBOC Retail Cost in Access/RIC			\$	1,582,884	\$	234,134		
	Industry Interstate RIC (NECA 1994) Assume RBOC/Industry RIC ratio	\$	2,147,000 75%						
	Direct Industry Retail Cost in RIC % of Industry RIC This amount represents the direct retail of	\$ osts r	222,022 10% reflected in th	ne	RIC.				
	Total Industry Retail Cost in RIC % of Industry RIC	\$	312,179 15%	~-	costs (Corporate Ons) that are allocated	into access a	ıs a		

In addition to the direct retail costs in access, there are other costs (Corporate Ops) that are allocated into access as a consequence of the direct retail costs via the "Big 3" allocator. The above amount reflects both the direct retail and the allocated corp ops in access which is driven by those retail costs.

Sheet3

13-04 Row #		199	4, \$000	1			T					
Row#												
Row#					• • •							
	Jan - Dec 1994	Total Interstate		Total Access			Switching	Special	CL+ SW Transport			
		10101	THE STATE	ļ <u>.</u>	. 0.017.00633			- William Ig		Opcolar		
7320 To	otal Customer Ops			\$	1,40	5,861	\$	110,494	\$	229,869	\$	1,065,498
7290	CABS			\$	19	2,546					\$	145,930
	Direct RBOC Retail Cost in Access			\$	1,21	3,315					\$	919,568
7332 Bi	ig 3 Exp	\$ 5	5,609,825	(No breakdown to subcategori				es ir	43-04)			
%	Impact of Retail adjustment					21.1%						
7334 C	orp Ops	\$	1,886,202	\$		1,450	\$	179,249	\$	267,505	\$	1,304,696
	Impact of Big 3 Adjustment			\$	36	9,569	ļ				\$	275,301
	Total RBOC Retail Cost in Access			\$	1,58	2,884					\$	1,194,869
RI	IC Tier 1	\$ 2	2,000,000							. , ,		
Di	irect RBOC Retail Cost in Access % of Tier 1 RIC	\$	919,568 46%			······································						
Th	his amount represents the direct retail	costs	reflected ir	the	e com	mon lir	ne ar	d transport	elen	nents of acc	ess.	
To	otal RBOC Retail Cost in Access	\$	1,194, 869									
	% of Tier 1 RIC		60%									
	addition to the direct retail costs in aconsequence of the direct retail costs vi											